

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/670,737		09/26/2003	Wen-Jian Lin	Q77680	Q77680 6758	
23373	7590	01/24/2006		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUI		PLLC IA AVENUE, N.W.		IVEY, ELIZABETH D ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 800		IA A V LNOL, N.W.				
WASHING	TON, DC	20037		1775		
				DATE MAILED: 01/24/200	6	

DATE MAILED. 01/24/2000

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ېد
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Off's A 44's a 0	10/670,737	LIN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Elizabeth Ivey	1775	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATORY Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicator of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dayor of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may tion. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of ty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) May statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communicati ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 11 November 2005		
· _ ·	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a		atters, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice u	·	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the appliance 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction.	rithdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Ex 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 November 20 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	05 is/are: a) ⊠ accepted or b) at the drawing(s) be held in abey correction is required if the drawi	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority docential copies of the priority docential copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the priority docential copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the copies of the certified copies of the	euments have been received. Euments have been received in the priority documents have be Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/670,737 Page 2

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- (1) On page 7 paragraph 3 lines 2-3, the specification contains the phrase between about between. Examiner respectfully requests revision of this sentence. Appropriate correction is required.
- (2) On page 8 paragraph 2, the examiner could not discern the meaning of "transparent rate". Appropriate clarification is required.
- On page 8 line 12 and page 9 line 7 of the specification, applicant references modulation 300". Other than these references, examiner finds no modulation 300 indicated in the specification or the drawings and it is unclear what is meant by this phrase. Appropriate clarification is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 4, 7 and 13 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claim 1, said claim contains minor grammatical and spelling errors.

Specifically, line 4 contains the word "post", which should be "posts", line 6 should contain the

Application/Control Number: 10/670,737 Page 3

Art Unit: 1775

word "an" between the words "wherein" and "incident", the word "irradiate" in line 7 should be "irradiates", and the word "form" should be "from". Appropriate correction is required.

Regarding claim 4, said claim should contain the word "the" between the words "wherein" and "lattice". Appropriate correction is required.

Regarding claim 7, line 4 of said claim, the word "post" should be posts. Additionally, line 9 should contain the word "an" between the words "wherein" and "incident", the word "irradiate" should be "irradiates" on line 10, and on the same line the word "form" should be "from".

Regarding claim 13, line 4 of said claim, the word "post" should be posts. Additionally, the word "irradiate" should be "irradiates" on line 11, and on the same line the word "form" should be "from".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it

pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The examiner finds no references to a deformable electrode, as claimed in line 2 of each of claims 1,7 and 13, in the specification or prior claims.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 5, the claim is unintelligible as written. Appropriate correction is required.

Response to Arguments

Examiner acknowledges amendment of figures 3-6 to coincide with the specification. Examiner acknowledges amendments of the specification but some remaining and additional objections are noted above.

Examiner acknowledges amendments to claims 1, 5, 7-13 and 16-20 in response to objections to the claims but additional objections are noted above.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant's amendment necessitated a new 112 first paragraph rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 10/670,737 Page 6

Art Unit: 1775

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Ivey whose telephone number is (571) 272-8432. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00- 4:30 M-Th and 7:00-3:30 alt. Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571)272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elizabeth D. Ivey

JENNIFER MCNEIL PRIMARY EXAMINER (1 30/04

Elizabeth Dhey